UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2015

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 0-24206

PENN NATIONAL GAMING, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

23-2234473 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

825 Berkshire Blvd., Suite 200 Wyomissing, PA 19610

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

610-373-2400

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address, and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Title Outstanding as of April 30, 2015
Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share 79,812,962 (includes 134,629 shares of restricted stock)

This document contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "believes," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "may," "will," "should" or "anticipates" or the negative or other variation of these or similar words, or by discussions of future events, strategies, or risks and uncertainties. Actual results may vary materially from expectations. Although Penn National Gaming, Inc. ("Penn") and its subsidiaries (together with Penn, collectively, the "Company") believe that our expectations are based on reasonable assumptions within the bounds of our knowledge of our business, there can be no assurance that actual results will not differ materially from our expectations. Meaningful factors that could cause actual results to differ from expectations include, but are not limited to, risks related to the following: our ability to obtain timely regulatory approvals required to own, develop and/or operate our facilities, or other delays or impediments to completing our planned acquisitions or projects; our ability to secure federal, state and local permits and approvals necessary for our construction projects; construction factors, including delays, unexpected remediation costs, local opposition, organized labor, and increased cost of labor and materials; our ability to maintain agreements with our horsemen, pari-mutuel clerks and other organized labor groups; the passage of state, federal or local legislation (including referenda) that would expand, restrict, further tax, prevent or negatively impact operations in or adjacent to the jurisdictions in which we do or seek to do business (such as a smoking ban at any of our facilities); the effects of local and national economic, credit, capital market, housing, and energy conditions on the economy in general and on the gaming and lodging industries in particular; the activities of our competitors and the rapid emergence of new competitors (traditional, internet and sweepstakes based and taverns); increases in the effective rate of taxation at any of our properties or at the corporate level; our ability to identify attractive acquisition and development opportunities and to agree to terms with, and maintain good relationships with partners/municipalities for such transactions; the costs and risks involved in the pursuit of such opportunities and our ability to complete the acquisition or development of, and achieve the expected returns from, such opportunities; our expectations for the continued availability and cost of capital; the outcome of pending legal proceedings, including the ongoing appeal by the Ohio Roundtable addressing the legality of video lottery terminals in Ohio and litigation surrounding our withdrawal from a gaming project in Western Pennsylvania; changes in accounting standards; the impact of weather; with respect to the proposed Jamul project near San Diego, California, particular risks associated with financing a project of this type, sovereign immunity, local opposition (including several pending lawsuits), and building a complex project on a relatively small parcel; with respect to our Massachusetts project, the ultimate location of the other gaming facilities in the state; with respect to our pending acquisition of Tropicana Las Vegas, risks relating to required regulatory approvals and other conditions to closing, higher leverage, the successful integration of the acquisition, our ability to successfully leverage our player database, market conditions affecting the Las Vegas Strip, ongoing litigation, labor relations, future capital expenditures, the risks associated with construction projects (such as delays and unexpected costs) and the availability and cost of capital; and other factors as discussed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, and Current Reports on Form 8-K as filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company does not intend to update publicly any forward-looking statements except as required by law.

2

Table of Contents

PENN NATIONAL GAMING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	4
ITEM 1.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets — March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income — Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income — Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity — Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows — Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	4 4 5 6 7 8 9
ITEM 2.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	20
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	32
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	32
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	33
ITEM 1.	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	33
ITEM 1A.	RISK FACTORS	33
ITEM 2.	UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	33
ITEM 3.	DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	33
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	33
ITEM 5.	OTHER INFORMATION	33
ITEM 6.	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	33
SIGNATURES		35
EXHIBIT IND	EX	36

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Penn National Gaming, Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands, except share and per share data)

		March 31, 2015	D	ecember 31, 2014
A		(unaudited)		
Assets Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	237.729	\$	208,673
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,093 and \$2,004 at March 31, 2015 and	Ф	231,129	Ф	208,073
December 31, 2014, respectively		40,918		41,618
Prepaid expenses		82,381		68,947
Deferred income taxes		45,302		55,579
Other current assets		10,913		11,189
		417,243		
Total current assets				386,006
Property and equipment, net		802,520		769,145
Other assets		105 504		150 551
Investment in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates		175,574		179,551
Goodwill		276,173		277,582
Other intangible assets, net		370,602		370,562
Deferred income taxes		74,492		79,067
Advances to the Jamul Tribe		86,443		62,048
Other assets		77,631		87,318
Total other assets		1,060,915		1,056,128
Total assets	\$	2,280,678	\$	2,211,279
Liabilities				
Current liabilities				
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$	40,890	\$	30,853
Accounts payable		66,587		43,136
Accrued expenses		135,518		130,818
Accrued interest		11,427		5,163
Accrued salaries and wages		69,671		84,034
Gaming, pari-mutuel, property, and other taxes		55,762		52,132
Insurance financing		10,251		13,680
Other current liabilities		64,771		75,703
Total current liabilities		454,877	-	435,519
Long-term liabilities				
Long-term debt, net of current maturities and debt issuance costs		1,235,061		1,204,828
Noncurrent tax liabilities		8,171		8,188
Other noncurrent liabilities		7,570		8,258
Total long-term liabilities		1,250,802		1,221,274
Total long term intollities	· ·	1,220,002	·	1,221,271
Shareholders' equity				
Series C Preferred stock (\$.01 par value, 18,500 shares authorized, 8,624 shares issued at March 31, 2015				
and December 31, 2014)		_		_
Common stock (\$.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 79,705,633 and 79,161,817 shares issued at				
March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively)		791		786
Additional paid-in capital		929,598		918,370
Retained deficit		(352,392)		(363,388)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(2,998)		(1,282)
Total shareholders' equity		574,999		554,486
	\$	2,280,678	•	
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	D	2,280,078	\$	2,211,279

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

Table of Contents

Penn National Gaming, Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (in thousands, except per share data) (unaudited)

Three Months Ended	March 31,
2015	2014

Revenues				
Gaming	\$	591,336	\$	570,683
Food, beverage and other	Ψ	108,763	Ψ	104,870
Management service fee		1,927		2,458
Revenues		702,026		678,011
Less promotional allowances		(37,888)		(36,931)
Net revenues		664,138		641,080
Operating expenses				
Gaming		294,895		286,077
Food, beverage and other		77,929		77,538
General and administrative		116,069		107,739
Rental expense related to Master Lease		108,845		104,309
Depreciation and amortization		42,922		47,366
Total operating expenses		640,660		623,029
Income from operations		23,478		18,051
Other income (expenses)				
Interest expense		(12,163)		(11,295)
Interest income		1,870		467
Income from unconsolidated affiliates		3,982		2,483
Other		3,089		1,631
Total other expenses		(3,222)		(6,714)
Income from operations before income taxes		20,256		11,337
Income tax provision		9,260		6,800
Net income	\$	10,996	\$	4,537
Earnings per common share:				
Basic earnings per common share	\$	0.12	\$	0.05
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.12	\$	0.05
San accommon ving notes to the condensed	aangalidatad financial atatamanta			

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

Table of Contents

Penn National Gaming, Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (in thousands) (unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2015		2014	
Net income	\$ 10,996	\$	4,537	
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax:	•		,	
Foreign currency translation adjustment during the period	(1,716)		(700)	
Other comprehensive loss	(1,716)		(700)	
Comprehensive income	\$ 9,280	\$	3,837	

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

_

Table of Contents

Penn National Gaming, Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (in thousands, except share data) (unaudited)

	Preferre	d Stock	Common	Stock	Additional Paid-In	Retained	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Total Shareholders'
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Capital	Deficit	Loss	Equity
Balance, December 31,								
2013	8,624	\$ —	77,788,393	\$ 775	\$ 887,556	\$ (130,314)	\$ 383	\$ 758,400
Share-based compensation arrangements, net of tax benefits of \$7,752	_	_	766,722	5	15,907	_	_	15,912
Foreign currency translation adjustment	_	_	_	_	_	_	(700)	(700)
Net income	_	_	_	_	_	4,537	_	4,537
Balance, March 31, 2014	8,624	\$ —	78,555,115	\$ 780	\$ 903,463	\$ (125,777)	\$ (317)	\$ 778,149

Balance, December 31, 2014	8,624 \$	_	79,161,817	\$ 786	\$ 918,370	\$ (363,388)	\$ (1,282) \$	554,486
Share-based compensation arrangements, net of tax benefits of \$6,379			543,816	5	11.228			11,233
			343,010	3	11,220			11,233
Foreign currency								
translation adjustment	_	_	_	_	_	_	(1,716)	(1,716)
Net income		_	_	_	_	10,996	_	10,996
Balance, March 31, 2015	8,624 \$		79,705,633	\$ 791	\$ 929,598	\$ (352,392)	\$ (2,998) \$	574,999

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

7

Table of Contents

Penn National Gaming, Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands) (unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31,		2015		2014
Operating activities				
Net income	\$	10,996	\$	4,537
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization		42,922		47,366
Amortization of items charged to interest expense		1,505		1,507
Accretion of settlement values on long term obligations		351		_
Loss (gain) on sale of fixed assets		153		(49)
Income from unconsolidated affiliates		(3,982)		(2,483)
Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated affiliates		8,000		5,500
Deferred income taxes		15,374		1,803
Charge for stock-based compensation		2,084		2,579
Decrease (increase),				
Accounts receivable		727		(599)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		(2,742)		(12,739)
Other assets		6,400		(1,854)
Increase (decrease),				
Accounts payable		2,887		2,186
Accrued expenses		4,985		(11,384)
Accrued interest		6,264		2,484
Accrued salaries and wages		(14,363)		(15,590)
Gaming, pari-mutuel, property and other taxes		3,630		2,768
Income taxes		(9,529)		(7,582)
Other current and noncurrent liabilities		(11,620)		669
Other noncurrent tax liabilities		1,519		4,489
Net cash provided by operating activities		65,561		23,608
Investing activities		,		- ,
Capital project expenditures, net of reimbursements		(36,929)		(12,957)
Capital maintenance expenditures		(11,860)		(24,084)
Advances to the Jamul Tribe		(16,341)		
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment		146		129
Investment in joint ventures		(328)		_
Decrease in cash in escrow		(° = °)		18,000
Acquisition of gaming licenses		_		(25,586)
Net cash used in investing activities		(65,312)	_	(44,498)
Financing activities		(05,512)		(11,170)
Proceeds from exercise of options		2,743		5,581
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt, net of issuance costs		45,000		(327)
Principal payments on long-term debt		(21,886)		(6,898)
Proceeds from insurance financing		885		14,335
Payments on insurance financing		(4,314)		(4,853)
Tax benefit from stock options exercised		6,379		7,752
Net cash provided by financing activities		28,807		15,590
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		29,056		(5,300)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	Φ.	208,673	Φ.	292,995
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	237,729	\$	287,695
Supplemental disclosure				
Supplemental disclosure Interest expense paid, net of amounts capitalized Income taxes paid	\$ \$	4,036 226	\$ \$	7,278 352

Non-cash transaction: In January 2015, a repayment obligation for a hotel and event center near Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg was assumed by a subsidiary of the Company, which was financed through a loan with the City of Lawrenceburg Department of Redevelopment. This non-cash transaction

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

8

Table of Contents

Penn National Gaming, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

Penn National Gaming, Inc. ("Penn") and together with its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") is a diversified, multi-jurisdictional owner and manager of gaming and pari-mutuel properties. As of March 31, 2015, the Company owned, managed, or had ownership interests in twenty-six facilities in the following seventeen jurisdictions: Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, West Virginia and Ontario, Canada.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with United States ("U.S.") generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for interim financial information and with the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Penn and its subsidiaries. Investment in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates, that do not meet the consolidation criteria of the authoritative guidance for voting interest, controlling interest or variable interest entities ("VIE"), are accounted for under the equity method. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses for the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. For purposes of comparability, certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2015. The notes to the consolidated financial statements contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 should be read in conjunction with these condensed consolidated financial statements. The December 31, 2014 financial information has been derived from the Company's audited consolidated financial statements.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Revenue Recognition and Promotional Allowances

Gaming revenue consists mainly of slot and video lottery gaming machine revenue as well as to a lesser extent table game and poker revenue. Gaming revenue is the aggregate net difference between gaming wins and losses, with liabilities recognized for funds deposited by customers before gaming play occurs, for "ticket-in, ticket-out" coupons in the customers' possession, and for accruals related to the anticipated payout of progressive jackpots. Progressive slot machines, which contain base jackpots that increase at a progressive rate based on the number of coins played, are charged to revenue as the amount of the jackpots increases. Table game revenue is the aggregate of table drop adjusted for the change in aggregate table chip inventory. Table drop is the total dollar amount of the currency, coins, chips, tokens and outstanding markers (credit instruments) that are removed from the live gaming tables.

Food, beverage and other revenue, including racing revenue, is recognized as services are performed. Racing revenue includes the Company's share of pari-mutuel wagering on live races after payment of amounts returned as winning wagers, its share of wagering from import and export simulcasting, and its share of wagering from its off-track wagering facilities.

Revenue from the management service contract for Casino Rama is based upon contracted terms and is recognized when services are performed.

Revenues are recognized net of certain sales incentives in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 605-50, "Revenue Recognition—Customer Payments and Incentives." The Company records certain sales incentives and points earned in point-loyalty programs as a reduction of revenue.

9

Table of Contents

The retail value of accommodations, food and beverage, and other services furnished to guests without charge is included in gross revenues and then deducted as promotional allowances. The estimated cost of providing such promotional allowances is primarily included in food, beverage and other expense.

The amounts included in promotional allowances for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

	Three N	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2015	2015 2014				
	•	(in thousands)				
Rooms	\$	8,336 \$	8,071			
Food and beverage	2	7,448	26,598			
Other		2,104	2,262			

37.88

36,931

The estimated cost of providing such complimentary services for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

	7	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2	2015 2014					
		(in thousands)					
Rooms	\$	1,054	\$	1,013			
Food and beverage		11,215		11,264			
Other		1,052		1,233			
Total cost of complimentary services	\$	13,321	\$	13,510			

Gaming and Racing Taxes

The Company is subject to gaming and pari-mutuel taxes based on gross gaming revenue and pari-mutuel revenue in the jurisdictions in which it operates. The Company primarily recognizes gaming and pari-mutuel tax expense based on the statutorily required percentage of revenue that is required to be paid to state and local jurisdictions in the states where or in which wagering occurs. In certain states in which the Company operates, gaming taxes are based on graduated rates. The Company records gaming tax expense at the Company's estimated effective gaming tax rate for the year, considering estimated taxable gaming revenue and the applicable rates. Such estimates are adjusted each interim period. If gaming tax rates change during the year, such changes are applied prospectively in the determination of gaming tax expense in future interim periods. Finally, the Company recognizes purse expense based on the statutorily required percentage of revenue that is required to be paid out in the form of purses to the winning owners of horse races run at the Company's racetracks in the period in which wagering occurs. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, these expenses, which are recorded primarily within gaming expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income, were \$227.0 million, as compared to \$219.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Rental Expense related to the Master Lease

As of March 31, 2015, the Company leases from Gaming and Leisure Properties, Inc. ("GLPI") real property assets associated with eighteen of the Company's gaming and related facilities used in the Company's operations under a "triple net" master lease agreement ("Master Lease").

The rent structure under the Master Lease, which became effective November 1, 2013, includes a fixed component, a portion of which is subject to an annual escalator of up to 2% if certain rent coverage ratio thresholds are met, and a variable component that is based on the performance of the facilities, which is prospectively adjusted, subject to a floor of zero (i) every five years by an amount equal to 4% of the average change to net revenues of all facilities under the Master Lease (other than Hollywood Casino Columbus and Hollywood Casino Toledo) during the preceding five years, and (ii) monthly by an amount equal to 20% of the change in net revenues of Hollywood Casino Columbus and Hollywood Casino Toledo during the preceding month. In addition, with the openings of Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course and Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway in the third quarter of 2014, these properties began paying rent subject to the terms of the Master Lease, for which the rental obligation is calculated as ten percent of the real estate construction costs paid for by GLPI related to these facilities.

The Master Lease is commonly known as a triple-net lease. Accordingly, in addition to rent, the Company is required to pay the following, among other things: (1) all facility maintenance; (2) all insurance required in connection with the leased properties and the business conducted on the leased properties; (3) taxes levied on or with respect to the leased properties (other than taxes on the

10

Table of Contents

income of the lessor); and (4) all utilities and other services necessary or appropriate for the leased properties and the business conducted on the leased properties. At the Company's option, the Master Lease may be extended for up to four five-year renewal terms beyond the initial fifteen-year term, on the same terms and conditions.

Total rental expense under the Master Lease was \$108.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to \$104.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Long-term asset related to the Jamul Tribe

On April 5, 2013, the Company announced that, subject to final National Indian Gaming Commission approval, it and the Jamul Indian Village of California (the "Jamul Tribe") had entered into definitive agreements to jointly develop a Hollywood Casino-branded casino on the Jamul Tribe's trust land in San Diego County, California. The definitive agreements were entered into to: (i) secure the development, management, and branding services of the Company to assist the Jamul Tribe during the pre-development and entitlement phase of the project; (ii) set forth the terms and conditions under which the Company will provide a loan or loans to the Jamul Tribe to fund certain development costs; and (iii) create an exclusive arrangement between the parties.

The Jamul Tribe is a federally recognized Indian Tribe holding a government-to-government relationship with the U.S. through the U.S. Department of the Interior's Bureau of Indian Affairs and possessing certain inherent powers of self-government. The Jamul Tribe is the beneficial owner of approximately six acres of reservation land located within the exterior boundaries of the State of California held by the U.S. in trust for the Jamul Tribe (the "Property"). The Jamul Tribe exercises jurisdiction over the Property pursuant to its powers of self-government and consistent with the resolutions and ordinances of the Jamul Tribe. The arrangement between the Jamul Tribe and the Company provides the Jamul Tribe with the expertise, knowledge and capacity of a proven developer and operator of gaming facilities and provides the Company with the exclusive right to administer and oversee planning, designing, development, construction management, and coordination during the development and construction of the project as well as the management of a gaming facility on the Property.

The proposed \$360 million development project will include a three-story gaming and entertainment facility of approximately 200,000 square feet featuring over 1,700 slot machines, 43 live table games, including poker, multiple restaurants, bars and lounges and a partially enclosed parking structure with over 1,800 spaces. In mid-January 2014, the Company announced the commencement of construction activities at the site and it is anticipated that the facility will open in mid-2016. The Company may, under certain circumstances, provide backstop financing to the Jamul Tribe in connection with the project and,

upon opening, will manage and provide branding for the casino. The Company has a conditional loan commitment to the Jamul Tribe (that can be terminated under certain circumstances) for up to \$400 million and anticipates it will fund approximately \$360 million related to this development.

The Company is accounting for the development agreement and related loan commitment letter with the Jamul Tribe as a loan (note receivable) with accrued interest in accordance with ASC 310 "Receivables." The loan represents advances made by the Company to the Jamul Tribe for the development and construction of a gaming facility for the Jamul Tribe on reservation land. As such, the Jamul Tribe will own the casino and its related assets and liabilities. San Diego Gaming Ventures, LLC (a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company) is a separate legal entity established to account for the loan and, upon completion of the project and subsequent commencement of gaming operations on the Property, will be the Penn entity which receives management and branding fees from the Jamul Tribe. The Company has a note receivable with the Jamul Tribe for \$86.4 million and \$62.0 million, which includes accrued interest of \$5.0 million and \$3.3 million, at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. Collectability of the note receivable will be derived from the revenues of the casino operations once the project is completed. Based on the Company's current progress with this project, the Company believes collectability of the note is highly certain. However, in the event that the Company's internal projections related to the profitability of this project and/or the timing of the opening are inaccurate, the Company may be required to record a reserve related to the collectability of this note receivable.

The Company considered whether the arrangement with the Jamul Tribe represents a variable interest that should be accounted for pursuant to the VIE Subsections of ASC 810 "Consolidation" ("ASC 810"). The Company noted that the scope and scope exceptions of ASC 810-10-15-12(e) states that a reporting entity shall not consolidate a government organization or financing entity established by a government organization (other than certain financing entities established to circumvent the provisions of the VIE Subsections of ASC 810). Based on the status of the Jamul Tribe as a government organization, the Company believes its arrangement with the Jamul Tribe is not within the scope defined by ASC 810.

Earnings Per Share

The Company calculates earnings per share ("EPS") in accordance with ASC 260, "Earnings Per Share" ("ASC 260"). Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income applicable to common stock by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding

11

Table of Contents

during the period. Diluted EPS reflects the additional dilution for all potentially-dilutive securities such as stock options and unvested restricted shares.

At March 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had outstanding 8,624 shares of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock. The Company determined that both classes of preferred stock qualified as a participating security as defined in ASC 260 since these securities participate in dividends with the Company's common stock. In accordance with ASC 260, a company is required to use the two-class method when computing EPS when a company has a security that qualifies as a "participating security." The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines EPS for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared (or accumulated) and participation rights in undistributed earnings. A participating security is included in the computation of basic EPS using the two-class method. Under the two-class method, basic EPS for the Company's common stock is computed by dividing net income applicable to common stock by the weighted-average common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS for the Company's common stock is computed using the more dilutive of the two-class method or the if-converted method.

The following table sets forth the allocation of net income for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 under the two-class method:

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2015 2014			2014	
	(in thousands)				
Net income	\$	10,996	\$	4,537	
Net income applicable to preferred stock		1,077		452	
Net income applicable to common stock	\$	9,919	\$	4,085	

The following table reconciles the weighted-average common shares outstanding used in the calculation of basic EPS to the weighted-average common shares outstanding used in the calculation of diluted EPS for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2015	2014	
	(in thousands)		
Determination of shares:			
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	79,400	77,917	
Assumed conversion of dilutive employee stock-based awards	2,296	2,003	
Assumed conversion of restricted stock	72	135	
Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding before participating security	81,768	80,055	
Assumed conversion of preferred stock	8,624	8,624	
Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding	90,392	88,679	

Options to purchase 1,662,035 shares and 942,147 shares were outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because they were antidilutive.

The following table presents the calculation of basic EPS for the Company's common stock (in thousands, except per share data):

		March 31,		
		2015		2014
Calculation of basic EPS:				
Net income applicable to common stock	\$	9,919	\$	4,085
Weighted-average common shares outstanding		79,400		77,917

Basic EPS

Table of Contents

The following table presents the calculation of diluted EPS for the Company's common stock (in thousands, except per share data):

		Three months ended March 31,				
	<u></u>	2015		2014		
Calculation of diluted EPS using two-class method:						
Net income applicable to common stock	\$	9,919	\$	4,085		
Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding before participating security		81,768		80,055		
Diluted EPS	\$	0.12	\$	0.05		

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock compensation under ASC 718, "Compensation-Stock Compensation," which requires the Company to expense the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. This expense is recognized ratably over the requisite service period following the date of grant.

The fair value for stock options was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, which requires management to make certain assumptions. The risk-free interest rate was based on the U.S. Treasury spot rate with a term equal to the expected life assumed at the date of grant. Expected volatility was estimated based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock price over a period of 5.45 years, in order to match the expected life of the options at the grant date. Historically, at the grant date, there has been no expected dividend yield assumption since the Company has not paid any cash dividends on its common stock since its initial public offering in May 1994 and since the Company intends to retain all of its earnings to finance the development of its business for the foreseeable future. The weighted-average expected life was based on the contractual term of the stock option and expected employee exercise dates, which was based on the historical and expected exercise behavior of the Company's employees. The Company granted 1,662,035 stock options during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was \$2.1 million, as compared to \$2.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, and is included within the condensed consolidated statements of income under general and administrative expense.

The Company's cash-settled phantom stock unit awards ("PSUs"), which vest over a period of three to five years, entitle employees and directors to receive cash based on the fair value of the Company's common stock on the vesting date. The PSUs are accounted for as liability awards and are re-measured at fair value each reporting period until they become vested with compensation expense being recognized over the requisite service period in accordance with ASC 718-30, "Compensation—Stock Compensation, Awards Classified as Liabilities." The Company had a liability, which is included in accrued salaries and wages within the condensed consolidated balance sheets, associated with its PSUs of \$7.0 million and \$8.2 million at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. For PSUs held by Penn employees, there was \$26.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost at March 31, 2015 that will be recognized over the grants remaining weighted average vesting period of 2.30 years. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company recognized \$4.5 million of compensation expense associated with these awards, as compared to \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase was primarily due to the stock price increase year-over-year for both Penn and GLPI awards held by Penn employees. Amounts paid by the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2015 on these cash-settled awards totaled \$5.2 million, as compared to \$5.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

For the Company's stock appreciation rights ("SARs"), the fair value of the SARs is calculated during each reporting period and estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on the various inputs discussed below. The Company's SARs, which vest over a period of four years, are accounted for as liability awards since they will be settled in cash. The Company had a liability, which is included in accrued salaries and wages within the condensed consolidated balance sheets, associated with its SARs of \$9.1 million and \$6.3 million at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. For SARs held by Penn employees, there was \$8.7 million of total unrecognized compensation cost at March 31, 2015 that will be recognized over the awards remaining weighted average vesting period of 2.92 years. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company recognized \$4.6 million of compensation expense associated with these awards, as compared to \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase was primarily due to the stock price increase year-over-year for both Penn and GLPI awards held by Penn employees. Amounts paid by the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2015 on these cash-settled awards totaled \$1.8 million, as compared to \$0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

13

Table of Contents

The following are the weighted-average assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model at March 31, 2015 and 2014:

	2015	2014
Risk-free interest rate	1.54%	1.68%
Expected volatility	36.93%	44.80%
Dividend yield	-	_
Weighted-average expected life (years)	5.45	5.45

Segment Information

The Company's Chief Executive Officer, who is the Company's Chief Operating Decision Maker, as that term is defined in ASC 280, "Segment Reporting" ("ASC 280"), measures and assesses the Company's business performance based on regional operations of various properties grouped together based primarily on their geographic locations. The Company's reportable segments are: (i) East/Midwest, (ii) West, and (iii) Southern Plains.

The East/Midwest reportable segment consists of the following properties: Hollywood Casino at Charles Town Races, Hollywood Casino Bangor, Hollywood Casino at Penn National Race Course, Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg, Hollywood Casino Toledo, Hollywood Casino Columbus, Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway, which opened on August 28, 2014, and Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course, which opened on September 17, 2014. It also includes the Company's Casino Rama management service contract and Plainridge Park Casino in Massachusetts which the Company expects to open on June 24, 2015.

The West reportable segment consists of the following properties: Zia Park Casino and the M Resort, as well as the Jamul Indian Village project, which the Company anticipates completing in mid-2016.

The Southern Plains reportable segment consists of the following properties: Hollywood Casino Aurora, Hollywood Casino Joliet, Argosy Casino Alton, Argosy Casino Riverside, Hollywood Casino Tunica, Hollywood Casino Gulf Coast, Boomtown Biloxi, and Hollywood Casino St. Louis, and includes the Company's 50% investment in Kansas Entertainment, LLC ("Kansas Entertainment"), which owns the Hollywood Casino at Kansas Speedway. On July 30, 2014, the Company closed Argosy Casino Sioux City.

The Other category consists of the Company's standalone racing operations, namely Rosecroft Raceway, Sanford-Orlando Kennel Club, and the Company's joint venture interests in Sam Houston Race Park, Valley Race Park, and Freehold Raceway, as well as the Company's 50% joint venture with the Cordish Companies in New York (which is in the process of being dissolved). If the Company is successful in obtaining gaming operations at these locations, they would be assigned to one of the Company's regional executives and reported in their respective reportable segment. The Other category also includes the Company's corporate overhead operations which does not meet the definition of an operating segment under ASC 280.

See Note 7 for further information with respect to the Company's segments.

Other Comprehensive Income

The Company accounts for comprehensive income in accordance with ASC 220, "Comprehensive Income," which establishes standards for the reporting and presentation of comprehensive income in the consolidated financial statements. The Company presents comprehensive income in two separate but consecutive statements. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the only component of accumulated other comprehensive income was foreign currency translation adjustments.

3. New Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2015, the FASB issued revised guidance to simplify the presentation of debt issuance costs in the balance sheet. The revised guidance requires debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with the existing presentation of debt discounts. The recognition and measurement guidance for debt issuance costs are not affected by this revised guidance, and therefore there is no impact to the statement of income. The revised guidance is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption of this revised guidance is permitted for financial statements that have not been previously issued. An entity should apply the revised guidance on a retrospective basis, wherein the balance sheet of each individual period presented should be adjusted to reflect the period-specific effects of applying the revised guidance. The Company has elected to early adopt the revised guidance and as such debt issuance costs are now presented as a direct reduction of

14

Table of Contents

long-term debt on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets. See Note 5 for further information regarding debt issuance costs.

In February 2015, the FASB issued new consolidation guidance to modify the analysis that a reporting entity must perform to determine whether it should consolidate certain types of legal entities. The main provisions of the new guidance include modifying the evaluation of whether limited partnerships and similar legal entities are VIEs or voting interest entities, the evaluation of fees paid to a decision maker or a service provider as a variable interest, and the effect of fee arrangements and related parties on the primary beneficiary determination, as well as provides a scope exception for certain investment funds. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. A reporting entity may apply the new guidance using a modified retrospective approach by recording a cumulative-effect adjustment to equity as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. A reporting entity also may apply the new guidance retrospectively. Management is in the process of assessing the impact of the new guidance on existing consolidation conclusions and equity method investments, but does not anticipate any change.

In May 2014, the FASB issued new revenue recognition guidance, which will supersede nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve the core principle, the new guidance implements a five-step process for customer contract revenue recognition. The guidance also requires enhanced disclosures regarding the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenues and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. This new guidance was originally to be effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period, and early adoption is prohibited. In April 2015, the FASB issued a one-year deferral of the effective date of this new guidance resulting in it now being effective for the Company beginning in fiscal year 2018. Entities can transition to the new guidance either retrospectively or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. Management is currently assessing the impact the new revenue recognition guidance will have on the consolidated financial statements.

4. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment, net, consists of the following:

March 31, 2015	Do	ecember 31, 2014
(in tl	nousands)	
\$ 43,211	\$	42,350

Land and improvements \$ 43,211 \$ 42,350

Building and improvements	187,695	173,043
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	1,218,830	1,213,143
Leasehold improvements	245,272	246,047
Construction in progress	115,955	69,367
Total property and equipment	1,810,963	1,743,950
Less accumulated depreciation	(1,008,443)	(974,805)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 802,520	\$ 769,145

Property and equipment, net increased by \$33.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 primarily due to the City of Lawrenceburg's conveyance of a hotel and event center near Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg (see Note 5 for further detail) and construction costs for the development of Plainridge Park Casino as well as normal capital maintenance expenditures, all of which were partially offset by depreciation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Depreciation expense totaled \$42.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to \$42.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Interest capitalized in connection with major construction projects was \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

5. Long-term Debt

Long-term debt, net of current maturities, is as follows:

15

Table of Contents

	March 31, 2015	į	December 31, 2014
	 (in tho	ısands))
Senior secured credit facility	\$ 830,625	\$	807,500
\$300 million 5.875% senior unsecured notes due November 1, 2021	300,000		300,000
Other long term obligations	169,840		154,189
Capital leases	188		199
	1,300,653		1,261,888
Less current maturities of long-term debt	(40,890)		(30,853)
Less discount on senior secured credit facility Term Loan B	(1,014)		(1,056)
Less debt issuance costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$8.3 million and \$6.8			
million, respectively	(23,688)		(25,151)
	\$ 1,235,061	\$	1,204,828

The following is a schedule of future minimum repayments of long-term debt as of March 31, 2015 (in thousands, excluding other long-term obligations attributable to the contingent purchase price consideration related to the purchase of Plainridge Racecourse further discussed below):

Within one year	\$ 40,890
1-3 years	123,828
3-5 years	500,989
Over 5 years	615,406
Total minimum payments	\$ 1,281,113

Senior Secured Credit Facility

The senior secured credit facility consists of a five year \$500 million revolver, a five year \$500 million Term Loan A facility, and a seven year \$250 million Term Loan B facility. At March 31, 2015, the Company's senior secured credit facility had a gross outstanding balance of \$830.6 million, consisting of a \$468.7 million Term Loan A facility, a \$246.9 million Term Loan B facility, and \$115.0 million outstanding on the revolving credit facility. Additionally, at March 31, 2015, the Company was contingently obligated under letters of credit issued pursuant to the senior secured credit facility with face amounts aggregating \$22.7 million, resulting in \$362.3 million of available borrowing capacity as of March 31, 2015 under the revolving credit facility.

Other Long Term Obligations

Other long term obligations at March 31, 2015 of \$169.8 million included \$19.5 million for the contingent purchase price consideration related to the purchase of Plainridge Racecourse, \$135.0 million related to the relocation fees for Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway and Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course, and \$15.3 million related to the repayment obligation of a hotel and event center located near Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg; all of which are more fully described below.

Plainridge Racecourse

On April 11, 2014, the Company purchased Plainridge Racecourse in Massachusetts, at which the Company expects to open a slots-only gaming facility on June 24, 2015. The associated option and purchase agreement contained contingent purchase price consideration that is calculated based on the actual earnings over the first ten years of operations following the commencement of gaming. The first payment is due 60 days after the completion of the first four full fiscal quarters of operation following the commencement of gaming, and additional payments are due every year for nine years after the first payment. The fair value of this liability was based on an income approach from the Company's internal earning projections and was discounted at a rate consistent with the risk a third party market participant would require holding the identical instrument as an asset. At each reporting period, the Company assesses the fair value of this obligation and changes in its value are recorded in earnings. The amount included in interest expense related to the accretion of this obligation was \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

Ohio Relocation Fees

In June 2013, the Company finalized the terms of its memorandum of understanding with the State of Ohio, which included an agreement by the Company to pay a relocation fee in return for being able to relocate its existing racetracks in Toledo and Grove City to Dayton and Austintown, respectively. Upon opening of these two racinos in Ohio in the third quarter of 2014, the relocation fee for each new racino was recorded at the present value of the contractual obligation, which was calculated to be \$75 million based on the 5% discount rate included in the agreement. The relocation fee for each facility is payable as follows: \$7.5 million upon the opening of the facility and eighteen semi-annual payments of \$4.8 million beginning one year from the commencement of operations. This obligation is accreted to interest expense at an effective yield of 5.0%. The amount included in interest expense related to this obligation was \$1.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Event Center

The City of Lawrenceburg Department of Redevelopment recently completed construction of a hotel and event center located less than a mile away from Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg. Effective in mid-January 2015, by contractual agreement, a repayment obligation for the hotel and event center was assumed by a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company in the amount of \$15.3 million, which was financed through a loan with the City of Lawrenceburg Department of Redevelopment. The Company is obligated to make annual payments on the loan of approximately \$1 million for twenty years beginning January 2016. This obligation is accreted to interest expense at its effective yield of 3.0%. The amount included in interest expense related to this obligation was \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Debt Issuance Costs

As discussed in Note 3, the Company elected to early adopt accounting guidance issued in April 2015 to simplify the presentation of debt issuance costs. This change in accounting principle was implemented retrospectively as of March 31, 2015 and had the effect of lowering other assets and long-term debt by \$23.7 million and \$25.2 million as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. Debt issuance costs that are incurred by the Company in connection with the issuance of debt are deferred and amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the contractual term of the underlying indebtedness.

Covenants

The Company's senior secured credit facility and \$300 million 5.875% senior unsecured notes require it, among other obligations, to maintain specified financial ratios and to satisfy certain financial tests, including fixed charge coverage, interest coverage, senior leverage and total leverage ratios. In addition, the Company's senior secured credit facility and \$300 million 5.875% senior unsecured notes restrict, among other things, its ability to incur additional indebtedness, incur guarantee obligations, amend debt instruments, pay dividends, create liens on assets, make investments, engage in mergers or consolidations, and otherwise restrict corporate activities.

At March 31, 2015, the Company was in compliance with all required financial covenants.

6. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation

The Company is subject to various legal and administrative proceedings relating to personal injuries, employment matters, commercial transactions and other matters arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company does not believe that the final outcome of these matters will have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations. In addition, the Company maintains what it believes is adequate insurance coverage to further mitigate the risks of such proceedings. However, such proceedings can be costly, time consuming and unpredictable and, therefore, no assurance can be given that the final outcome of such proceedings may not materially impact the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations. Further, no assurance can be given that the amount or scope of existing insurance coverage will be sufficient to cover losses arising from such matters.

7. Segment Information

The following tables present certain information with respect to the Company's segments. Intersegment revenues between the Company's segments were not material in any of the periods presented below.

17

Table of Contents

	Eas	st/Midwest	West	Southern Plains	Other	Total
				(in thousands)		
Three months ended March 31, 2015						
Net revenues	\$	386,544	\$ 62,585	\$ 210,269	\$ 4,740	\$ 664,138
Income (loss) from operations		19,094	6,509	24,574	(26,699)	23,478
Depreciation and amortization		28,273	2,387	10,782	1,480	42,922
Income from unconsolidated affiliates		_	_	3,788	194	3,982
Capital expenditures		38,574	2,851	6,448	916	48,789
Three months ended March 31, 2014						
Net revenues	\$	349,449	\$ 60,920	\$ 223,757	\$ 6,954	\$ 641,080
Income (loss) from operations		9,602	8,057	21,227	(20,835)	18,051

Depreciation and amortization	26,823	1,549	17,251	1,743	47,366
Income from unconsolidated affiliates	_	_	2,452	31	2,483
Capital expenditures	10,110	6,430	19,343	1,158	37,041
Balance sheet at March 31, 2015					
Total assets	1,034,441	313,306	576,528	356,403	2,280,678
Investment in and advances to unconsolidated					
affiliates	93	_	111,257	64,224	175,574
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	264,162	145,079	233,456	4,078	646,775
Balance sheet at December 31, 2014					
Total assets	990,031	289,026	592,405	339,817	2,211,279
Investment in and advances to unconsolidated					
affiliates	94	_	115,469	63,988	179,551
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	264.147	145.054	234.865	4.078	648.144

8. Income Taxes

At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had a net deferred tax asset balance of \$119.8 million and \$134.6 million, respectively, within its condensed consolidated balance sheets. The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740, "Income Taxes" ("ASC 740"). Under ASC 740, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of existing assets and liabilities and are measured at the prevailing enacted tax rates that will be in effect when these differences are settled or realized. ASC 740 also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more-likely-than-not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

9. Fair Value Measurements

ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures," establishes a hierarchy that prioritizes fair value measurements based on the types of inputs used for the various valuation techniques (market approach, income approach, and cost approach). The levels of the hierarchy are described below:

- · Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- · Level 2: Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly; these include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity.

The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect the valuation of assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy.

The following methods and assumptions are used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate:

Cash and cash equivalents

The fair value of the Company's cash and cash equivalents approximates the carrying value of the Company's cash and cash equivalents, due to the short maturity of the cash equivalents.

18

Table of Contents

Long-term debt

The fair value of the Company's Term Loan A and B components of its senior secured credit facility and senior unsecured notes is estimated based on quoted prices in active markets and as such is a Level 1 measurement. The fair value of the remainder of the Company's senior secured credit facility approximates its carrying value as it is revolving, variable rate debt and as such is a Level 2 measurement.

Other long term obligations at March 31, 2015 include the contingent purchase price consideration related to the purchase of Plainridge Racecourse, the relocation fees for Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway and Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course, and the repayment obligation of a hotel and event center located near Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg. The fair value of the Company's contingent purchase price consideration related to its Plainridge Racecourse acquisition is estimated based on a discounted cash flow model and as such is a Level 3 measurement. At each reporting period, the Company assesses the fair value of this obligation and changes in its value are recorded in earnings. The amount included in interest expense related to the change in fair value of this obligation was \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015. The fair value of the relocation fees for Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway and Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course approximates its carrying value as the discount rate of 5.0% approximates the market rate of similar debt instruments and as such is a Level 2 measurement. Finally, the fair value of the repayment obligation for the hotel and event center is estimated based on a rate consistent with comparable municipal bonds and as such is a Level 2 measurement. See Note 5 for further details regarding the Company's other long term obligations.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values by input level of the Company's financial instruments at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 are as follows (in thousands):

			March 31, 2015		
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Financial assets:					

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 237,729	\$	237,729	\$	237,729	\$	_	\$	_
Financial liabilities:									
Long-term debt									
Senior secured credit facility	810,153		825,313		710,313		115,000		_
Senior unsecured notes	295,770		299,250		299,250		_		_
Other long-term obligations	169,840		169,589		_		150,049		19,540
				Dogg	mber 31, 2014				
	Carrying Amount	Fa	air Value	Dece	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3
Financial assets:		F:	air Value	Dece	,	_	Level 2	_	Level 3
Financial assets: Cash and cash equivalents		F:	air Value 208,673	\$,	\$	Level 2	\$	Level 3
	 Amount				Level 1	\$	Level 2	\$	Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents	 Amount				Level 1	\$	Level 2	\$	Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents Financial liabilities:	 Amount				Level 1	\$	Level 2	\$	Level 3

154,189

135,000

19.189

10. Subsequent Events

Other long-term obligations

On April 29, 2015, the Company publicly announced its plan to acquire the Tropicana Las Vegas Hotel and Casino for an approximate purchase price of \$360 million. The purchase price will be funded by cash on hand and increased commitments under the Company's existing senior secured credit facility. The merger is expected to close in the fourth quarter of 2015, subject to regulatory approvals. The Tropicana Las Vegas Hotel and Casino is situated on 35 acres of land located on the Las Vegas Strip with 1,467 remodeled guest rooms and suites, a 50,000 square foot casino gaming floor featuring 844 slot and video poker machines and 38 table games including blackjack, mini-baccarat, craps and roulette, three full-service restaurants, a 1,200 seat performance theater, a 300 seat comedy club, a nightclub, beach club and 2,950 parking spaces. For more information, see the Form 8-K filed on April 29, 2015.

154,189

19

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our Operations

We are a leading, diversified, multi-jurisdictional owner and manager of gaming and pari-mutuel properties. As of March 31, 2015, we owned, managed, or had ownership interests in twenty-six facilities in the following seventeen jurisdictions: Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, West Virginia, and Ontario, Canada. We believe that our portfolio of assets provides us the benefit of geographically diversified cash flow from operations.

In 1997, we began our transition from a pari-mutuel company to a diversified gaming company with the acquisition of the Charles Town property and the introduction of video lottery terminals in West Virginia. Since 1997, we have continued to expand our gaming operations through strategic acquisitions, greenfield projects, and property expansions. We are nearing completion of our Plainridge Park Casino, an integrated racing and slots-only gaming facility in Plainville, Massachusetts, which we expect to open on June 24, 2015, as well as the Jamul Indian Village project near San Diego, California, which we anticipate completing in mid-2016.

The vast majority of our revenue is gaming revenue, derived primarily from gaming on slot machines (which represented approximately 84% and 83% of our gaming revenue in 2014 and 2013, respectively) and to a lesser extent, table games, which is highly dependent upon the volume and spending levels of customers at our properties. Other revenues are derived from our management service fee from Casino Rama, our hotel, dining, retail, admissions, program sales, concessions and certain other ancillary activities, and our racing operations. Our racing revenue includes our share of pari-mutuel wagering on live races after payment of amounts returned as winning wagers, our share of wagering from import and export simulcasting, and our share of wagering from our off-track wagering facilities.

Key performance indicators related to gaming revenue are slot handle and table game drop (volume indicators) and "win" or "hold" percentage. Our typical property slot hold percentage is in the range of 6% to 10% of slot handle, and our typical table game win percentage is in the range of 12% to 25% of table game drop. Slot handle is the gross amount wagered for the period cited. The win or hold percentage is the net amount of gaming wins and losses, with liabilities recognized for accruals related to the anticipated payout of progressive jackpots. Our slot hold percentages have consistently been in the 6% to 10% range over the past several years. Given the stability in our slot hold percentages, we have not experienced significant impacts to earnings from changes in these percentages.

For table games, customers usually purchase cash chips at the gaming tables. The cash and markers (extensions of credit granted to certain credit worthy customers) are deposited in the gaming table's drop box. Table game win is the amount of drop that is retained and recorded as casino gaming revenue, with liabilities recognized for funds deposited by customers before gaming play occurs and for unredeemed gaming chips. As we are focused on regional gaming markets, our table win percentages are fairly stable as the majority of these markets do not regularly experience high-end play, which can lead to volatility in win percentages. Therefore, changes in table game win percentages do not typically have a material impact to our earnings.

Our properties generate significant operating cash flow, since most of our revenue is cash-based from slot machines, table games, and pari-mutuel wagering. Our business is capital intensive, and we rely on cash flow from our properties to generate operating cash to pay rent to GLPI under the Master Lease, repay debt, fund capital maintenance expenditures, fund new capital projects at existing properties and provide excess cash for future development and acquisitions.

We continue to expand our gaming operations through the implementation and execution of a disciplined capital expenditure program at our existing properties, the pursuit of strategic acquisitions and the development of new gaming properties, particularly in attractive regional markets. Additional information regarding our capital projects is discussed in detail in the section entitled "Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capital Expenditures" below.

Segment Information

The Company's Chief Executive Officer, who is the Company's Chief Operating Decision Maker, as that term is defined in ASC 280, measures and assesses the Company's business performance based on regional operations of various properties grouped together based primarily on their geographic locations. The Company's reportable segments are: (i) East/Midwest, (ii) West, and (iii) Southern Plains.

The East/Midwest reportable segment consists of the following properties: Hollywood Casino at Charles Town Races, Hollywood Casino Bangor, Hollywood Casino at Penn National Race Course, Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg, Hollywood Casino

20

Table of Contents

Toledo, Hollywood Casino Columbus, Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway, which opened on August 28, 2014, and Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course, which opened on September 17, 2014. It also includes the Company's Casino Rama management service contract and Plainridge Park Casino in Massachusetts which the Company expects to open on June 24, 2015.

The West reportable segment consists of the following properties: Zia Park Casino and the M Resort, as well as the Jamul Indian Village project, which the Company anticipates completing in mid-2016.

The Southern Plains reportable segment consists of the following properties: Hollywood Casino Aurora, Hollywood Casino Joliet, Argosy Casino Alton, Argosy Casino Riverside, Hollywood Casino Tunica, Hollywood Casino Gulf Coast, Boomtown Biloxi, and Hollywood Casino St. Louis, and includes the Company's 50% investment in Kansas Entertainment, which owns the Hollywood Casino at Kansas Speedway. On July 30, 2014, the Company closed Argosy Casino Sioux City.

The Other category consists of the Company's standalone racing operations, namely Rosecroft Raceway, Sanford-Orlando Kennel Club, and the Company's joint venture interests in Sam Houston Race Park, Valley Race Park, and Freehold Raceway, as well as the Company's 50% joint venture with the Cordish Companies in New York (which is in the process of being dissolved). If the Company is successful in obtaining gaming operations at these locations, they would be assigned to one of the Company's regional executives and reported in their respective reportable segment. The Other category also includes the Company's corporate overhead operations which does not meet the definition of an operating segment under ASC 280.

Executive Summary

Continued sluggish economic conditions and the expansion of newly constructed gaming facilities continue to impact the overall domestic gaming industry as well as our operating results. We believe that current economic conditions, including, but not limited to, a weak economic recovery, and higher taxes paid by individuals, have resulted in reduced levels of discretionary consumer spending compared to historical levels. Additionally, the expansion of newly constructed gaming facilities has substantially increased competition in many of our regional markets (including some of our larger facilities).

We operate a geographically diversified portfolio comprised largely of new and well maintained regional gaming facilities. This has allowed us to develop what we believe to be a solid base for future growth opportunities. We have also made investments in joint ventures that we believe may allow us to capitalize on additional gaming opportunities in certain states if legislation or referenda are passed that permit and/or expand gaming in these jurisdictions and we are selected as a licensee. Historically, the Company has been reliant on certain key regional gaming markets (for example, its results from Hollywood Casino at Charles Town Races and Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg). Over the past several years, the Company has diversified its operations via new development facilities and acquisitions and anticipates further diversifying its reliance on specific properties in connection with its current development pipeline.

Financial Highlights:

We reported net revenues and income from operations of \$664.1 million and \$23.5 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to \$641.1 million and \$18.1 million, respectively, for the corresponding period in the prior year. The major factors affecting our results for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, were:

- The opening of Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway on August 28, 2014 in our East/Midwest segment, which generated \$22.5 million of net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2015.
- The opening of Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course on September 17, 2014 in our East/Midwest segment, which generated \$24.9 million of net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2015.
- · New competition in our East/Midwest segment for Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg, namely the openings of a racino at Belterra Park in May 2014 and our own Dayton facility in late August 2014.
- · Increased competition in our East/Midwest segment from the Baltimore, Maryland market, which includes Maryland Live! and Horseshoe Casino Baltimore, which opened at the end of August 2014, as Hollywood Casino at Charles Town Races has been impacted by their marketing efforts in response to each other.
- · The closure of Argosy Casino Sioux City in our Southern Plains segment on July 30, 2014.

2.1

Table of Contents

Higher general and administrative expenses for corporate overhead costs of \$6.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the corresponding period in the prior year, primarily due to increased cash-settled stock-based compensation charges mainly due to the stock price increases for Penn and GLPI common stock during 2015 compared to stock price declines in 2014.

· Net income increased by \$6.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the variances explained above, as well as lower depreciation expense and higher interest income and income from unconsolidated affiliates, partially offset by higher rental expense related to the Master Lease and higher income taxes.

Segment Developments:

The following are recent developments that have had or will have an impact on us by segment:

East/Midwest

- In June 2012, we announced that we had filed applications with the Ohio Lottery Commission for Video Lottery Sales Agent Licenses for our Ohio racetracks, Raceway Park and Beulah Park, and with the Ohio State Racing Commission for permission to relocate the racetracks to Dayton and Austintown, respectively. On May 1, 2013, we received approval from the Ohio Racing Commission for our relocation plans. Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course, which opened on September 17, 2014, features a one-mile thoroughbred track and approximately 860 video lottery terminals, as well as various restaurants, bars and other amenities. Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway, which opened on August 28, 2014, features a 5/8-mile standardbred track and approximately 980 video lottery terminals, as well as various restaurants, bars and other amenities. See the section entitled "Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capital Expenditures" below for further details.
- Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg faced increased competition, namely the openings of a racino at Belterra Park in May 2014 and our own Dayton facility in late August 2014.
- Hollywood Casino at Charles Town Races faced increased competition from the Baltimore, Maryland market, which includes Maryland Live! and Horseshoe Casino Baltimore, which opened at the end of August 2014, as we have been impacted by their marketing efforts in response to each other. In addition, in December 2013, the license for Prince George's County, Maryland was granted to MGM. The proposed \$1.2 billion casino, which MGM plans to open in the second half of 2016, is anticipated to adversely impact our financial results as it will create additional competition for Hollywood Casino at Charles Town Races.
- On February 28, 2014, the Massachusetts Gaming Commission awarded the Company a Category Two slots-only gaming license for its planned \$250 million (including licensing fees) Plainridge Park Casino in Plainville, Massachusetts. On March 14, 2014, the Company broke ground on the facility, which will feature live harness racing and simulcasting, along with 1,250 gaming devices, various dining and entertainment options, structured and surface parking, and a two story clubhouse with approximately 55,000 square feet. The Company expects the facility to open on June 24, 2015.

West

On April 5, 2013, we announced that, subject to final National Indian Gaming Commission approval, we and the Jamul Tribe had entered into definitive agreements (including management, development, branding and lending arrangements) to jointly develop a Hollywood Casino-branded casino on the Jamul Tribe's trust land in San Diego County, California. The proposed facility is located approximately 20 miles east of downtown San Diego. The proposed \$360 million development project will include a three-story gaming and entertainment facility of approximately 200,000 square feet featuring over 1,700 slot machines, 43 live table games, including poker, multiple restaurants, bars and lounges and a partially enclosed parking structure with over 1,800 spaces. In mid-January 2014, we announced the commencement of construction activities at the site and it is anticipated that the facility will open in mid-2016. We currently provide financing to the Jamul Tribe in connection with the project and, upon opening, we will manage and provide branding for the casino.

Southern Plains

· On July 30, 2014, Argosy Casino Sioux City ceased its operations.

22

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Estimates

We make certain judgments and use certain estimates and assumptions when applying accounting principles in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. The nature of the estimates and assumptions are material due to the levels of subjectivity and judgment necessary to account for highly uncertain factors or the susceptibility of such factors to change. We have identified the accounting for long-lived assets, goodwill and other intangible assets, income taxes and litigation, claims and assessments as critical accounting estimates, as they are the most important to our financial statement presentation and require difficult, subjective and complex judgments.

We believe the current assumptions and other considerations used to estimate amounts reflected in our consolidated financial statements are appropriate. However, if actual experience differs from the assumptions and other considerations used in estimating amounts reflected in our consolidated financial statements, the resulting changes could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations and, in certain situations, could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition.

For further information on our critical accounting estimates, see Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. There has been no material change to these estimates for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Results of Operations

The following are the most important factors and trends that contribute to our operating performance:

The fact that most of our properties operate in mature competitive markets. As a result, we expect a majority of our future growth to come from prudent acquisitions of gaming properties (such as our November 2012 acquisition of Harrah's St. Louis gaming and lodging facility from Caesars Entertainment), jurisdictional expansions (such as our planned June 2015 opening of a slots-only gaming facility in Massachusetts, our planned mid-2016

opening of a Hollywood Casino-branded gaming facility on the Jamul Indian Village land in trust which we will manage, the September 2014 opening of Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course, the August 2014 opening of Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway, the October 2012 opening of Hollywood Casino Columbus, and the May 2012 opening of Hollywood Casino Toledo), expansions of gaming in existing jurisdictions (such as the introduction of table games in July 2010 at Hollywood Casino at Charles Town Races and Hollywood Casino at Penn National Race Course, and at Hollywood Casino Bangor in March 2012) and expansions/improvements of existing properties (such as a hotel at Zia Park which opened in August 2014).

- The fact that a number of states (such as New York and Massachusetts) are currently considering or implementing legislation to legalize or expand gaming. Such legislation presents both potential opportunities to establish new properties (for example, in Massachusetts, where we were awarded a slots-only gaming license on February 28, 2014, in Kansas, where we opened a casino through a joint venture in February 2012, and in Ohio, where we opened casinos in Toledo and Columbus in May 2012 and October 2012, respectively, and opened video lottery terminal facilities at two racetracks in the third quarter of 2014) and increased competitive threats to business at our existing properties (such as the introduction/expansion of commercial casinos in Kansas, Maryland, Ohio, and potentially Kentucky, Nebraska and Illinois, and the introduction of tavern licenses in several states, most significantly in Illinois).
- The actions of government bodies can affect our operations in a variety of ways. For instance, the continued pressure on governments to balance their budgets could intensify the efforts of state and local governments to raise revenues through increases in gaming taxes and/or property taxes, or via an expansion of gaming. In addition, government bodies may restrict, prevent or negatively impact operations in the jurisdictions in which we do business (such as the implementation of smoking bans).
- The continued demand for, and our emphasis on, slot wagering entertainment at our properties.
- · The successful execution of our development and construction activities, as well as the risks associated with the costs, regulatory approval and the timing of these activities.
- The risks related to economic conditions and the effect of such prolonged sluggish conditions on consumer spending for leisure and gaming activities, which may negatively impact our operating results and our ability to continue to access financing at favorable terms.

23

Table of Contents

The consolidated results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 are summarized below:

		Three Months Ended March 31,				
	<u> </u>	2015		2014		
_		(in tho	usands)			
Revenues:						
Gaming	\$	591,336	\$	570,683		
Food, beverage and other		108,763		104,870		
Management service fee		1,927		2,458		
Revenues		702,026		678,011		
Less promotional allowances		(37,888)		(36,931)		
Net revenues		664,138		641,080		
Operating expenses:						
Gaming		294,895		286,077		
Food, beverage and other		77,929		77,538		
General and administrative		116,069		107,739		
Rental expense related to the Master Lease		108,845		104,309		
Depreciation and amortization		42,922		47,366		
Total operating expenses		640,660		623,029		
Income from operations	\$	23,478	\$	18,051		

Certain information regarding our results of operations by segment for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 is summarized below:

		Net Re	venues		Income (loss) from Operations						
Three Months Ended March 31,	2015 2014 2015							2014			
	(in thousands)										
East/Midwest	\$	386,544	\$	349,449	\$	19,094	\$	9,602			
West		62,585		60,920		6,509		8,057			
Southern Plains		210,269		223,757		24,574		21,227			
Other		4,740		6,954		(26,699)		(20,835)			
Total	\$	664,138	\$	641,080	\$	23,478	\$	18,051			

Adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR

Adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR are used by management as the primary measure of the Company's operating performance. We define adjusted EBITDA as earnings before interest, taxes, stock compensation, debt extinguishment charges, impairment charges, insurance recoveries and deductible charges, depreciation and amortization, gain or loss on disposal of assets, and other income or expenses. Adjusted EBITDA is also inclusive of income or loss from unconsolidated affiliates, with our share of non-operating items (such as depreciation and amortization) added back for our joint venture in Kansas Entertainment. Adjusted EBITDAR is adjusted EBITDA excluding rent expense associated with our Master Lease agreement with GLPI. Adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR have economic substance because they are used by management as a performance measure to analyze the performance of our business, and are especially relevant in evaluating large, long-lived casino projects because they provide a perspective on the current effects of operating decisions separated from the substantial non-operational depreciation charges and financing costs of such projects. We also present adjusted EBITDA and

including our ability to service debt, fund capital expenditures, acquisitions and operations. These calculations are commonly used as a basis for investors, analysts and credit rating agencies to evaluate and compare operating performance and value companies within our industry. In addition, gaming companies have historically reported adjusted EBITDA as a supplement to financial measures in accordance with GAAP. In order to view the operations of their casinos on a more stand-alone basis, gaming companies, including us, have historically excluded from their adjusted EBITDA calculations certain corporate expenses that do not relate to the management of specific casino properties. However, adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR are not a measure of performance or liquidity calculated in accordance with GAAP. Adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR information is presented as a supplemental disclosure, as management believes that it is a widely used measure of performance in the gaming industry, is the principal basis for the valuation of gaming companies,

adjusted EBITDAR because they are used by some investors and creditors as an indicator of the strength and performance of ongoing business operations,

Table of Contents

and that it is considered by many to be a better indicator of the Company's operating results than net income (loss) per GAAP. Management uses adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR as the primary measures of the operating performance of its segments, including the evaluation of operating personnel. Adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR should not be construed as alternatives to operating income, as indicators of the Company's operating performance, as alternatives to cash flows from operating activities, as measures of liquidity, or as any other measures of performance determined in accordance with GAAP. The Company has significant uses of cash flows, including capital expenditures, interest payments, taxes and debt principal repayments, which are not reflected in adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR. It should also be noted that other gaming companies that report adjusted EBITDA information may calculate adjusted EBITDA in a different manner than the Company and therefore, comparability may be limited.

A reconciliation of the Company's net income (loss) per GAAP to adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR, as well as the Company's income (loss) from operations per GAAP to adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR, is included below. Additionally, a reconciliation of each segment's income (loss) from operations to adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR is also included below. On a segment level, income (loss) from operations per GAAP, rather than net income (loss) per GAAP, is reconciled to adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR due to, among other things, the impracticability of allocating interest expense, interest income, income taxes and certain other items to the Company's segments on a segment by segment basis. Management believes that this presentation is more meaningful to investors in evaluating the performance of the Company's segments and is consistent with the reporting of other gaming companies.

The reconciliation of the Company's income (loss) from operations per GAAP to adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR, as well as the Company's net income (loss) per GAAP to adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR, for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 was as follows (in thousands):

	Three Mor Marc	ed
	2015	2014
Net income	\$ 10,996	\$ 4,537
Income tax provision	9,260	6,800
Other	(3,089)	(1,631)
Income from unconsolidated affiliates	(3,982)	(2,483)
Interest income	(1,870)	(467)
Interest expense	12,163	11,295
Income from operations	\$ 23,478	\$ 18,051
Loss (gain) on disposal of assets	153	(49)
Charge for stock compensation	2,084	2,579
Depreciation and amortization	42,922	47,366
Income from unconsolidated affiliates	3,982	2,483
Non-operating items for Kansas JV (1)	2,751	2,921
Adjusted EBITDA	75,370	73,351
Rental expense related to Master Lease	108,845	104,309
Adjusted EBITDAR	\$ 184,215	\$ 177,660

The reconciliation of each segment's income (loss) from operations to adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

Three months ended March 31, 2015	Eas	t/Midwest	West	5	Southern Plains	Other	Total
Income (loss) from operations	\$	19,094	\$ 6,509	\$	24,574	\$ (26,699)	\$ 23,478
Charge for stock compensation		_	_		_	2,084	2,084
Depreciation and amortization		28,273	2,387		10,782	1,480	42,922
(Gain) loss on disposal of assets		(122)	181		100	(6)	153
Income from unconsolidated affiliates		_	_		3,788	194	3,982
Non-operating items for Kansas JV (1)		_	_		2,751	_	2,751
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	47,245	\$ 9,077	\$	41,995	\$ (22,947)	\$ 75,370
Rental expense related to Master Lease		69,232	8,802		30,811	_	108,845
Adjusted EBITDAR	\$	116,477	\$ 17,879	\$	72,806	\$ (22,947)	\$ 184,215

25

Table of Contents

Three months ended March 31, 2014	Eas	st/Midwest	West	1	Southern Plains	Other	Total
Income (loss) from operations	\$	9,602	\$ 8,057	\$	21,227	\$ (20,835)	\$ 18,051
Charge for stock compensation		_	_		_	2,579	2,579
Depreciation and amortization		26.823	1,549		17.251	1.743	47,366

(Gain) loss on disposal of assets	(87)	66	(22)	(6)	(49)
Income from unconsolidated affiliates	_	_	2,452	31	2,483
Non-operating items for Kansas JV (1)	_	_	2,921	_	2,921
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 36,338	\$ 9,672	\$ 43,829	\$ (16,488)	\$ 73,351
Rental Expense related to Master Lease	65,312	8,885	30,112	_	104,309
Adjusted EBITDAR	\$ 101,650	\$ 18,557	\$ 73,941	\$ (16,488)	\$ 177,660

(1) Starting with the second quarter of 2014, adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDAR exclude our share of the impact of non-operating items (such as depreciation and amortization expense) from our joint venture in Kansas Entertainment. Prior periods were restated to conform to this new presentation.

Adjusted EBITDAR for our East/Midwest segment increased by \$14.8 million, or 14.6%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the openings of Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course on September 17, 2014 and Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway on August 28, 2014, which together increased adjusted EBITDAR by \$14.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the corresponding period in the prior year, and a property tax refund received in the first quarter of 2015 for \$2.0 million, which were partially offset by decreased adjusted EBITDAR at Hollywood Casino at Charles Town Races primarily due to competition discussed below, and increased pre-opening costs for Plainridge Park Casino of \$1.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the corresponding period in the prior year.

Adjusted EBITDAR for our Southern Plains segment decreased by \$1.1 million, or 1.5%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to decreased adjusted EBITDAR at Argosy Casino Sioux City of \$4.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the corresponding period in the prior year, due to its closure on July 30, 2014, and decreased adjusted EBITDAR at Hollywood Casino Aurora primarily due to additional competition, both of which were partially offset by cost containment measures at Boomtown Biloxi and Hollywood Casino Gulf Coast and increased earnings related to our joint venture in Kansas Entertainment primarily due to growth in its market share.

Adjusted EBITDAR for Other decreased by \$6.5 million, or 39.2%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to increased corporate overhead costs of \$7.6 million primarily due to increased cash-settled stock-based compensation charges mainly due to the stock price increases for Penn and GLPI common stock during 2015 compared to stock price declines in 2014.

Revenues

Revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

Three Months Ended March 31,	2015	2014	Variance	Percentage Variance
Gaming	\$ 591,336	\$ 570,683	\$ 20,653	3.6%
Food, beverage and other	108,763	104,870	3,893	3.7%
Management service fee	1,927	2,458	(531)	(21.6)%
Revenues	702,026	 678,011	 24,015	3.5%
Less promotional allowances	(37,888)	(36,931)	(957)	2.6%
Net revenues	\$ 664,138	\$ 641,080	\$ 23,058	3.6%

In our business, revenue is driven by discretionary consumer spending, which has been impacted by a slow economic recovery that has resulted in declines in the labor force participation rate, higher taxes, and increased stock market and commodity price volatility. The expansion of newly constructed gaming facilities has also increased competition in many regional markets (including at some of our key facilities).

We have no certain mechanism for determining why consumers choose to spend more or less money at our properties from period to period and as such cannot quantify a dollar amount for each factor that impacts our customers' spending behaviors.

26

Table of Contents

However, based on our experience, we can generally offer some insight into the factors that we believe were likely to account for such changes. In instances where we believe one factor may have had a significantly greater impact than the other factors, we have noted that as well. However, in all instances, such insights are based only on our reasonable judgment and professional experience, and no assurance can be given as to the accuracy of our judgments.

The retail value of accommodations, food and beverage, and other services furnished to guests without charge is included in gross revenues and then deducted as "promotional allowances." Our promotional allowance levels are determined based on various factors such as our marketing plans, competitive factors, economic conditions, and regulations.

Gaming revenue

Gaming revenue increased by \$20.7 million, or 3.6%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the variances explained below.

Gaming revenue for our East/Midwest segment increased by \$34.6 million, or 11.0%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the openings of Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course on September 17, 2014 and Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway on August 28, 2014, which together generated \$42.9 million of gaming revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2015, which was partially offset by decreased gaming revenue at Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg primarily due to the continued impact of competition in Ohio, namely the openings of a racino at Belterra Park in May 2014 and our own Dayton facility, and at Hollywood Casino at Charles Town Races primarily due to increased competition from the Baltimore Maryland market, which includes Maryland Live! and Horseshoe Casino Baltimore, which opened at the end of August 2014, as we have been impacted by their marketing efforts in response to each other.

Gaming revenue for our Southern Plains segment decreased by \$14.2 million, or 6.8%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the closure of Argosy Casino Sioux City on July 30, 2014, which had gaming revenue of \$11.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, and decreased gaming revenue at Hollywood Casino Aurora primarily due to additional competition.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

Three Months Ended March 31,	2015	2014	Variance	Percentage Variance
Gaming	\$ 294,895	\$ 286,077	\$ 8,818	3.1%
Food, beverage and other	77,929	77,538	391	0.5%
General and administrative	116,069	107,739	8,330	7.7%
Rental expense related to Master Lease	108,845	104,309	4,536	4.3%
Depreciation and amortization	42,922	47,366	(4,444)	(9.4)%
Total operating expenses	\$ 640,660	\$ 623,029	\$ 17,631	2.8%

Gaming expense

Gaming expense increased by \$8.8 million, or 3.1%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the variances explained below.

Gaming expense for our East/Midwest segment increased by \$15.1 million, or 8.5%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the openings of Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course on September 17, 2014 and Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway on August 28, 2014 and pre-opening expenses related to Plainridge Park Casino incurred in the first quarter of 2015, which were partially offset by a decrease in gaming taxes resulting from decreased taxable gaming revenue mentioned above at Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg and Hollywood Casino at Charles Town Races.

Gaming expense for our Southern Plains segment decreased by \$7.3 million, or 8.3%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the closure of Argosy Casino Sioux City on July 30, 2014, a decrease in gaming taxes resulting from decreased taxable gaming revenue mentioned above at Hollywood Casino Aurora, and cost containment measures at Boomtown Biloxi and Hollywood Casino Gulf Coast.

27

Table of Contents

General and administrative expenses

General and administrative expenses include items such as compliance, facility maintenance, utilities, property and liability insurance, surveillance and security, and certain housekeeping services, as well as all expenses for administrative departments such as accounting, purchasing, human resources, legal and internal audit. General and administrative expenses also include lobbying expenses.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$8.3 million, or 7.7%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the variances explained below.

General and administrative expenses for Other increased by \$6.0 million, or 28.6%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to increased corporate overhead costs of \$6.6 million primarily due to increased cash-settled stock-based compensation charges mainly due to the stock price increases for Penn and GLPI common stock during 2015 compared to stock price declines in 2014.

General and administrative expenses for our East/Midwest segment increased by \$4.0 million, or 10.2%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the openings of Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course on September 17, 2014 and Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway on August 28, 2014, partially offset by a property tax refund received in the first quarter of 2015 for \$2.0 million.

General and administrative expenses for our Southern Plains segment decreased by \$1.9 million, or 4.8%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the closure of Argosy Casino Sioux City on July 30, 2014.

Rental expense related to the Master Lease

Rental expense related to the Master Lease increased by \$4.5 million, or 4.3%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course and Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway which began paying rent upon their openings in the third quarter of 2014.

The Company allocates the rental obligation to the leased properties on a monthly basis based on their proportionate share of the total EBITDAR generated by the leased properties (with the exception of Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course and Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway for which the rental obligation is calculated as ten percent of the real estate construction costs paid for by GLPI related to these facilities). Additionally, the variable rent component attributable to our Hollywood Casinos in Columbus and Toledo, Ohio (which is reassessed on a monthly basis) are allocated directly to these two properties.

Depreciation and amortization expense

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased by \$4.4 million, or 9.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the closure of Argosy Casino Sioux City on July 30, 2014 and decreased depreciation expense at Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg primarily due to assets purchased for the 2009 expansion that had a five year useful life being fully depreciated in July 2014, both of

which were partially offset by the openings of Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course and Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway in the third quarter of 2014.

Other income (expenses)

Other income (expenses) for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

Three Months Ended March 31,	 2015	2014	Variance	Percentage Variance
Interest expense	\$ (12,163)	\$ (11,295)	\$ (868)	7.7%
Interest income	1,870	467	1,403	300.4%
Income from unconsolidated affiliates	3,982	2,483	1,499	60.4%
Other	3,089	1,631	1,458	89.4%
Total other expenses	\$ (3,222)	\$ (6,714)	\$ 3,492	(52.0)%
	28			

Table of Contents

Interest expense

Interest expense increased by \$0.9 million, or 7.7%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the accretion of the relocation fees associated with our two racinos in Ohio, both of which opened in the third quarter of 2014, for \$1.7 million (See Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements), partially offset by higher capitalized interest and lower interest rates on the Term Loan A portion of the senior secured credit facility for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the corresponding period in the prior year.

Interest income

Interest income increased by \$1.4 million, or 300.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to higher interest accrued on the note receivable with the Jamul Tribe (see Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further details).

Income from unconsolidated affiliates

Income from unconsolidated affiliates increased by \$1.5 million, or 60.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to increased earnings related to our joint venture in Kansas Entertainment primarily due to growth in its market share.

Other

Other increased by \$1.5 million, or 89.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to increased foreign currency translation gains for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the corresponding period in the prior year.

Taxes

The Company's effective tax rate (income taxes as a percentage of income from operations before income taxes) was 45.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to 60.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the year-over-year increase in pre-tax earnings that had a favorable impact to our effective rate as well as a decrease in reserves recorded for unrecognized tax positions compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

The Company's annual effective tax rate can vary from period to period depending on, among other factors, the geographic and business mix of our earnings and the level of our tax credits. Certain of these and other factors, including our history and projections of pre-tax earnings, are taken into account in assessing our ability to realize our net deferred tax assets.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically and prospectively, our primary sources of liquidity and capital resources have been and will be cash flow from operations, borrowings from banks and proceeds from the issuance of debt and equity securities.

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$65.6 million and \$23.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The increase in net cash provided by operating activities of \$42.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the corresponding period in the prior year, was comprised primarily of an increase in cash receipts from customers of \$24.9 million and a decrease in cash paid to suppliers and vendors of \$14.2 million. The increase in cash receipts collected from our customers for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the prior year was primarily due to the openings of Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course on September 17, 2014 and Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway on August 28, 2014, partially offset by the closure of Argosy Casino Sioux City on July 30, 2014 and continued competition on our operations. The decrease in cash paid to suppliers and vendors for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the prior year was primarily due to the timing of cash payments for prepaids and lower accruals year-over-year. The decrease in cash paid to suppliers and vendors was partially offset by the openings of Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course on September 17, 2014 and Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway on August 28, 2014 and increased corporate overhead costs year-over-year, which were partially offset by the closure of Argosy Casino Sioux City on July 30, 2014 and decreased gaming taxes resulting from decreased taxable gaming revenue related to continued competition on our operations.

Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$65.3 million and \$44.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The increase in net cash used in investing activities of \$20.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the corresponding period in the prior year, was primarily due to increased capital project expenditures of \$24.0 million

Table of Contents

primarily due to the development of Plainridge Park Casino, which is expected to open in June 2015, advances to the Jamul Tribe of \$16.3 million, and cash in escrow returned in the first quarter of 2014 of \$18.0 million, all of which were partially offset by our Massachusetts gaming license payment of \$25.0 million in March 2014 and decreased capital maintenance expenditures of \$12.2 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$28.8 million and \$15.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The increase in net cash provided by financing activities of \$13.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the corresponding period in the prior year, was primarily due to higher net borrowings on our long-term debt of \$30.3 million, partially offset by lower proceeds from insurance financing of \$13.5 million and lower proceeds from the exercise of options of \$2.8 million.

Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures are accounted for as either capital project or capital maintenance (replacement) expenditures. Capital project expenditures are for fixed asset additions that expand an existing facility or create a new facility. Capital maintenance expenditures are expenditures to replace existing fixed assets with a useful life greater than one year that are obsolete, worn out or no longer cost effective to repair.

The following table summarizes our expected capital project expenditures by segment for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015, and actual expenditures for the three months ended March 31, 2015 (excluding licensing fees and net of reimbursements). The table below should not be utilized to predict future expected capital project expenditures subsequent to 2015.

Property	Endin	eted for Year g December 1, 2015		Expenditures for Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 (in millions)		Balance to Expend in 2015
East/Midwest	\$	131.2	S	35.3	\$	95.9
West	Ψ	0.6	Ψ	0.5	Ψ	0.1
Southern Plains		1.1		1.1		_
Total	\$	132.9	\$	36.9	\$	96.0

On February 28, 2014, the Massachusetts Gaming Commission awarded the Company a Category Two slots-only gaming license, and on March 14, 2014, the Company broke ground on the development of Plainridge Park Casino in Plainville, Massachusetts. Plainridge Park Casino is anticipated to be a \$250 million (which is inclusive of a \$25 million increase to our previous budget that is principally the result of our decision to purchase rather than lease certain games and equipment) fully integrated racing and gaming facility featuring live harness racing and simulcasting with 1,250 gaming devices, various dining and entertainment options, structured and surface parking, and a two story clubhouse with approximately 55,000 square feet. We expect Plainridge Park Casino to open on June 24, 2015. As of March 31, 2015, total cumulative costs were \$144.6 million, which includes a \$25 million gaming license fee, which was paid in March 2014, and the acquisition of Plainridge Racecourse for \$42.4 million, which was paid in April 2014.

Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course, with a \$161 million budget, inclusive of a \$75 million relocation fee and \$50 million license fee, opened on September 17, 2014. Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway, with a \$165 million budget, inclusive of a \$75 million relocation fee and \$50 million license fee, opened on August 28, 2014. The \$75 million relocation fee for each Ohio racetrack is based on the present value of the contractual obligation, of which \$7.5 million was paid upon opening, with 18 additional semi-annual payments of \$4.8 million due beginning one year after opening. For the license fee for each Ohio racetrack, we paid \$10 million in the second quarter of 2014 as well as \$15 million upon opening and will pay the remaining license fee of \$25 million on the one year anniversary of the commencement of gaming. As of March 31, 2015, Penn incurred cumulative costs of \$71.7 million and \$63.6 million for the Austintown facility and the Dayton facility, respectively, which includes the payments made to date for the relocation fee and license fee previously mentioned. As part of the spin-off transaction that was effective November 1, 2013, GLPI was responsible for certain real estate related construction costs for the Austintown facility and the Dayton facility, and as such, these facilities are now subject to the Master Lease.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we spent \$11.9 million for capital maintenance expenditures, with \$3.3 million at our East/Midwest segment, \$2.3 million at our West segment, \$5.3 million at our Southern Plains segment, and \$1.0 million for Other. The majority of the capital maintenance expenditures were for slot machines and slot machine equipment.

30

Table of Contents

Jamul Tribe

Note receivable to the Jamul Tribe, which totaled \$86.4 million at March 31, 2015, is accounted for as a loan and as such is not included in the capital expenditures table presented above. The budget for this development project is \$360 million. We expect the project to be completed in mid-2016 which will include the construction of a three-story gaming and entertainment facility of approximately 200,000 square feet featuring over 1,700 slot machines, 43 live table games, including poker, multiple restaurants, bars and lounges and a partially enclosed parking structure with over 1,800 spaces.

Cash generated from operations and cash available under the revolving credit facility portion of our senior secured credit facility funded our capital projects, capital maintenance expenditures and the Jamul Tribe project in 2015 to date.

Senior Secured Credit Facility

The senior secured credit facility consists of a five year \$500 million revolver, a five year \$500 million Term Loan A facility, and a seven year \$250 million Term Loan B facility. At March 31, 2015, the Company's senior secured credit facility had a gross outstanding balance of \$830.6 million, consisting of a \$468.7 million Term Loan A facility, a \$246.9 million Term Loan B facility, and \$115.0 million outstanding on the revolving credit facility.

Additionally, at March 31, 2015, the Company was contingently obligated under letters of credit issued pursuant to the senior secured credit facility with face amounts aggregating \$22.7 million, resulting in \$362.3 million of available borrowing capacity as of March 31, 2015 under the revolving credit facility.

Other Long Term Obligations

Other long term obligations at March 31, 2015 of \$169.8 million included \$19.5 million for the contingent purchase price consideration related to the purchase of Plainridge Racecourse, \$135.0 million related to the relocation fees for Hollywood Gaming at Dayton Raceway and Hollywood Gaming at Mahoning Valley Race Course, and \$15.3 million related to the repayment obligation of a hotel and event center located near Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg; all of which are more fully described below.

On April 11, 2014, the Company purchased Plainridge Racecourse in Massachusetts, at which the Company expects to open a slots-only gaming facility on June 24, 2015. The associated option and purchase agreement contained contingent purchase price consideration that is calculated based on the actual earnings over the first ten years of operations following the commencement of gaming. The first payment is due 60 days after the completion of the first four full fiscal quarters of operation following the commencement of gaming, and additional payments are due every year for nine years after the first payment. The fair value of this liability was based on an income approach from the Company's internal earning projections and was discounted at a rate consistent with the risk a third party market participant would require holding the identical instrument as an asset. At each reporting period, the Company assesses the fair value of this obligation and changes in its value are recorded in earnings. The amount included in interest expense related to the accretion of this obligation was \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

In June 2013, the Company finalized the terms of its memorandum of understanding with the State of Ohio, which included an agreement by the Company to pay a relocation fee in return for being able to relocate its existing racetracks in Toledo and Grove City to Dayton and Austintown, respectively. Upon opening of these two racinos in Ohio in the third quarter of 2014, the relocation fee for each new racino was recorded at the present value of the contractual obligation, which was calculated to be \$75 million based on the 5% discount rate included in the agreement. The relocation fee for each facility is payable as follows: \$7.5 million upon the opening of the facility and eighteen semi-annual payments of \$4.8 million beginning one year from the commencement of operations. This obligation is accreted to interest expense at an effective yield of 5.0%. The amount included in interest expense related to this obligation was \$1.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

The City of Lawrenceburg Department of Redevelopment recently completed construction of a hotel and event center located less than a mile away from Hollywood Casino Lawrenceburg. Effective in mid January 2015, by contractual agreement, a repayment obligation for the hotel and event center was assumed by a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company in the amount of \$15.3 million, which was financed through a loan with the City of Lawrenceburg Department of Redevelopment. The Company is obligated to make annual payments on the loan of approximately \$1 million for twenty years beginning January 2016. This obligation is accreted to interest expense at its effective yield of 3.0%. The amount included in interest expense related to this obligation was \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Covenants

The Company's senior secured credit facility and \$300 million 5.875% senior unsecured notes require us, among other obligations, to maintain specified financial ratios and to satisfy certain financial tests, including fixed charge coverage, interest coverage, senior leverage and total leverage ratios. In addition, the Company's senior secured credit facility and \$300 million 5.875%

31

Table of Contents

senior unsecured notes restrict, among other things, its ability to incur additional indebtedness, incur guarantee obligations, amend debt instruments, pay dividends, create liens on assets, make investments, engage in mergers or consolidations, and otherwise restrict corporate activities.

At March 31, 2015, the Company was in compliance with all required financial covenants.

Outlook

The spin-off transaction with GLPI will continue to have a material impact on our results of operations, capital structure and management. For a discussion of these impacts, see "Spin-Off of Real Estate Assets through a Real Estate Assets through a Real Estate Investment Trust" and "Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. Based on our current level of operations, we believe that cash generated from operations and cash on hand, together with amounts available under our senior secured credit facility, will be adequate to meet our anticipated rental obligation, debt service requirements, capital expenditures and working capital needs for the foreseeable future. However, we cannot be certain that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations, that our anticipated earnings projections will be realized, or that future borrowings will be available under our senior secured credit facility or otherwise will be available to enable us to service our indebtedness, including the senior secured credit facility and the \$300 million 5.875% senior unsecured notes, to retire or redeem the \$300 million 5.875% senior unsecured notes when required or to make anticipated capital expenditures. In addition, we expect a majority of our future growth to come from acquisitions of gaming properties at reasonable valuations, greenfield projects, jurisdictional expansions and property expansion in under-penetrated markets. If we consummate significant acquisitions in the future or undertake any significant property expansions, our cash requirements may increase significantly and we may need to make additional borrowings or complete equity or debt financings to meet these requirements. Our future operating performance and our ability to service or refinance our debt will be subject to future economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. See "Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Capital Structure" in the Company's A

We have historically maintained a capital structure comprising a mix of equity and debt financing. We vary our leverage to pursue opportunities in the marketplace and in an effort to maximize our enterprise value for our shareholders. We expect to meet our debt obligations as they come due through internally generated funds from operations and/or refinancing them through the debt or equity markets prior to their maturity.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The table below provides information at March 31, 2015 about our financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. For debt obligations, the table presents notional amounts maturing during the period and the related weighted-average interest rates by maturity dates. Notional

amounts are used to calculate the contractual payments to be exchanged by maturity date and the weighted-average interest rates are based on implied forward LIBOR rates at March 31, 2015.

	01/15 - /31/16	04/01/16 - 03/31/17	04/01/17 - 03/31/18	04/01/18 - 03/31/19		04/01/19 - 03/31/20	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value 03/31/15
				(in tho	usanc	is)			
Long-term debt:									
Fixed rate	\$ _	\$ _	\$ _	\$ _	\$	_	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 299,250
Average interest rate							5.88%		
Variable rate	\$ 30,625	\$ 43,125	\$ 52,500	\$ 467,500	\$	2,500	\$ 234,375	\$ 830,625	\$ 825,313
Average interest rate (1)	3.64%	3.75%	3.82%	3.86%		4.67%	4.12%		

⁽¹⁾ Estimated rate, reflective of forward LIBOR plus the spread over LIBOR applicable to variable-rate borrowing.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of March 31, 2015, which is the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well-designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the

32

Table of Contents

cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2015 to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized, evaluated and reported, as applicable, within the time periods specified in the United States Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes that occurred during the fiscal quarter covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that have materially affected, or are reasonable likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 — LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Information in response to this Item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in "Note 6: Commitments and Contingencies" in the Notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

ITEM 1A — RISK FACTORS

We are not aware of any material changes to the risk factors described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

ITEM 2 — UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	 Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program	Maximum Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program
January 1, 2015 - January 31, 2015	117,978	\$ 13.49	N/A	N/A
February 1, 2015 - February 28, 2015		_	N/A	N/A
March 1, 2015 - March 31, 2015	14,934	16.38	N/A	N/A

⁽¹⁾ The shares repurchased in the table above represent repurchases of shares from employees who surrendered a portion of their shares received through the Company's stock-based compensation plans to cover their associated minimum income tax withholding obligations.

ITEM 3 — DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4 — MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5 — OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description of Exhibit
10.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated April 28, 2015, by and among Penn National Gaming, Inc., Tropicana Las Vegas
	33
Table of C	<u>Contents</u>
	Hotel and Casino, Inc., LV Merger Sub, Inc. and Trilliant Gaming Nevada Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K, filed on April 29, 2015).
10.2	First Amendment and Incremental Joinder Agreement, dated April 28, 2015, with certain subsidiaries of Penn National Gaming, Inc. party thereto as guarantors and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, collateral agent, swingline lender and letter of credit issuer. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K, filed on April 29, 2015).
31.1*	CEO Certification pursuant to rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
31.2*	CFO Certification pursuant to rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
32.1*	CEO Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2*	CFO Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101	Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 and (vi) the notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

34

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PENN NATIONAL GAMING, INC.

May 6, 2015 By: /s/ Timothy J. Wilmott

Timothy J. Wilmott

Chief Executive Officer and President

35

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description of Exhibit
10.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated April 28, 2015, by and among Penn National Gaming, Inc., Tropicana Las Vegas Hotel and Casino, Inc., LV Merger Sub, Inc. and Trilliant Gaming Nevada Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K, filed on April 29, 2015).
10.2	First Amendment and Incremental Joinder Agreement, dated April 28, 2015, with certain subsidiaries of Penn National Gaming, Inc. party thereto as guarantors and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, collateral agent, swingline lender and letter of credit issuer. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K, filed on April 29, 2015).
31.1*	CEO Certification pursuant to rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2*	CFO Certification pursuant to rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

- 32.1* CEO Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2* CFO Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 and (vi) the notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.

* Filed herewith.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a) OR 15d-14(a) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

I, Timothy J. Wilmott, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Penn National Gaming, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 6, 2015

/s/ Timothy J. Wilmott
Timothy J. Wilmott

Chief Executive Officer and President

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a) OR 15d-14(a) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

I, Saul V. Reibstein, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Penn National Gaming, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 6, 2015

/s/ Saul V. Reibstein
Saul V. Reibstein
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Penn National Gaming, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015, as filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Timothy J. Wilmott, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that, to my knowledge:

- 1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Timothy J. Wilmott
Timothy J. Wilmott
Chief Executive Officer and President
May 6, 2015

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002, 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Penn National Gaming, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015, as filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Saul V. Reibstein, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that, to my knowledge:

- 1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Saul V. Reibstein
Saul V. Reibstein
Chief Financial Officer
May 6, 2015